



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,807	06/13/2005	Yukuo Katayama	124237	5827
25944 7590 12/17/2007 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			EXAMINER RINEHART, KENNETH	
			ART UNIT 3749	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/17/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/538,807

Applicant(s)

KATAYAMA, YUKUO

Examiner

Kenneth B. Rinehart

Art Unit

3749

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 October 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 9-18 and 20-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8 and 19 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 June 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9-18, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bissett et al (4153427) in view of Buchanan (3476494). Bissett et al discloses heating the mixture with a heater to convert at least a part of the water in the mixture into a form of steam and feeding the whole mixture to a combustion furnace or gasification reactor (col. 3, lines 53-56), wherein the whole mixture is transferred between an inlet of the heater and the combustion furnace or gasification reactor by a pump (22, fig. 1), characterized in that a discharge pressure at the pump is higher than an inner pressure in the combustion furnace or gasification reactor at least by 1.5 MPa and not higher than 22.12 MPa, a discharge pressure at the pump is higher than an inner pressure of the combustion furnace or gasification reactor by from ... (col. 3, lines 32-37, pressure at discharge of pump will inherently be higher than in furnace or reactor) and that a flow rate of said mixture with at least a part of the water being in a form of steam is from ... m/s in a pipe in the heater and in a pipe between an outlet of the heater and an inlet of the combustion furnace or gasification reactor (42, fig. 1), wherein an inner diameter of the pipe in the heater becomes larger gradually along a direction of the flow of the

mixture, so that the water in the mixture is gradually converted into a form of steam (col. 4, lines 9-24), wherein an inner diameter of the pipe in the heater becomes ... along a direction of the flow of the mixture, so that the water in the mixture is ... converted into a form of steam (col. 4, lines 9-24), said non- flammable gas is steam, nitrogen, or carbon dioxide (steam, figure 1), substantially all of the water is converted into a form of steam (col. 4, lines 25-28), the heating by the heater is carried out at a temperature of from 150 to 450 degrees C at a pressure of from 1.5 to 22.12Mpa, the heating by the heater is carried out at a temperature of from 200 to 400 degrees C at a pressure of from 3.0 to 22.12 Mpa, the heating by the heater is carried out at a temperature of from 200 to 365 degrees C at a pressure of from 4.0 to 20.0 Mpa, the heating is carried out with a heating medium of a temperature of from 200 to 600 degrees C (col. 3, line 37, col. 4, line 12), a pre-heater is provided upstream of the heater (30, fig. 1), a water content in the mixture comprising a burnable solid and water is from 27 to 80 weight %, relative to the total weight of the mixture, wherein a water content in the mixture comprising a burnable solid and water is from 30 to 40 weight %, relative to the total weight of the mixture, a water content in the mixture comprising a burnable solid and water is from 30 to 35 weight %, relative to the total weight of the mixture (col. 3, line 30) . Bissett discloses the claimed invention except for 6 to 50, 3.0 MPa to 15.0 Mpa, 4.0 MPa to 15.0 Mpa, 8 to 40, 10 to 40, stepwise, 2 to 12, 4 to 12, 6 to 12, said non-flammable gas is blown in just downstream of a place where the inner diameter of the pipe becomes larger gradually or stepwise. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have 6 to 50, 3.0 MPa to 15.0 Mpa, 4.0 MPa to 15.0 Mpa, 8 to 40, 10 to 40, 2 to 12, 4 to 12, 6 to 12, since where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill

in the art. At the time the invention was made it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have said non-flammable gas is blown in just downstream of a place where the inner diameter of the pipe becomes because applicant has not disclosed that the location provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the location of Bissett or the claimed location because both locations perform the same function equally well. Buchanan teaches larger gradually or stepwise (26) for the purpose of regulating the expansion of the vortex. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bissett et al by including teaches larger gradually or stepwise as taught by Buchanan for the purpose of regulating the expansion of the vortex so that vaporization is assisted.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bisset et al (4153427) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Schueler (5657704). Bisset et al discloses applicant's invention substantially as claimed with the exception of a pressure reducing valve is provided at the outlet of the pre-heater. Schueler teaches a pressure reducing valve is provided at the outlet of the pre-heater (14, fig. 2) for the purpose of preventing backflow. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bisset by including a pressure reducing valve is provided at the outlet of the pre-heater as taught by Schueler for the purpose of preventing backflow.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B. Rinehart whose telephone number is 571-272-4881. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:20 -4:20.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven McAllister can be reached on 571-272-6785. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:
10/538,807
Art Unit: 3749

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

kbr


KENNETH RINEHART
PRIMARY EXAMINER